PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



REC'D 1 0 FEB 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY OF

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference			
B06-262-01	FOR FURT	HER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/IE2004/000007	22.01.2004	ng date <i>(day/month/year)</i>	Priority date (day/month/year) 22.01.2003
International Patent Classification (IF G07F19/00	°C) or national classification	on and IPC	
Applicant VALISTA LIMITED et al.			
		PPad.it according to Atticle	this International Preliminary Examining
2. This REPORT consists of a	total of 7 sheets, inclu	uding this cover sheet.	
3. This report is also accompa	nied by ANNEXES, co	mprising:	
a. Sent to the applicant	and to the Internationa	al Bureau) a total of sheets	s, as follows:
I ⊔ Speets of the de	scription, claims and/or	و و و و بالسوام و	n amended and are the basis of this report (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
☐ sheets which su beyond the discl Supplemental Bo	persede earlier sheets, osure in the internation	but which this Authority co al application as filed, as in	nsiders contain an amendment that goes adicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
b. (sent to the Internation sequence listing and	onal Bureau only) a tota	al of (indicate type and num to, in computer readable for ion 802 of the Administrativ	aber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a m only, as indicated in the Supplemental re instructions).
4. This report contains indication	ons relating to the follow	wing items:	
☑ Box No. I Basis of th		•	
☐ Box No. II Priority	e obiiiioti		
	lishment of oninion with	o managada to a	
☐ Box No. IV Lack of uni	ty of invention	r regard to noverty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability
☑ Box No. V Reasoned applicabilit	statement under Article	e 35(2) with regard to novel ations supporting such state	ity, inventive step or industrial
Li box No. VI Certain do	cuments cited	77 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	
☐ Box No. VII Certain def	ects in the internationa	al application	
☐ Box No. VIII Certain obs	servations on the intern	national application	
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of t	his report
19.08.2004		09.02.2005	
lame and malling address of the International reliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d		Mülthaler, E	Stranger Lynner, E
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	22000 epina a	Telephone No. +49 89	2399.7625
		, Sioprione 140, 749 89	ح المراح

INTERNATIONAL PREMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY



International application No. PCT/IE2004/000007

_			
_	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
1	 With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 		
	□ int	report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, in is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ternational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ublication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ternational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2	. With regai	rd to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which</i> in furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):	
	Description	n, Pages	
	1-19	as originally filed	
	Claims, Nu	ımbers	
	1-23	as originally filed	
	Drawings,	Figures	
	1/9-9/9	as originally filed	
	□ a sequ	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.	☐ the ☐ the ☐ the ☐ the	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
١.	☐ This re had not bee Supplemen	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below en made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the later than 10.2(c).	
•	☐ the☐ the☐ the☐ the☐ the	description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
	* If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PREMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY



International application No. PCT/IE2004/000007

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-19

No: Claims

20-23

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims No: Claims

1-23

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-23

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet



International application No.

PCT/IE2004/000007

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 6 415 156 B1 D2: WO 02/46985 A2

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claim 20 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, and the subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 18 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

1. Independent claim 1:

Document **D1** is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method for cash payment for goods or services in a mobile commerce system:

- establishing a person/company's account (description: column 2 line 50-51) in a mobile commerce system, wherein said mobile commerce system includes a supplier's account (description: column 1 line 63-65);
- communicating a request for said goods or services from said person/company to a supplier using a communication device in said mobile commerce system (description: column 3 line 3-11);
- authenticating said person/company by said mobile commerce system (description: column 3 line 43-54);
- verifying said person/company's funds by said mobile commerce system (description: column 3 line 55-59);
- transferring value for said goods or services from said person/company's account to said supplier's account (description: column 4 line 1-8); and
- transferring access to said goods or services to said person/company (description: column 4 line 20-30).

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from the method disclosed in D1 in that the



International application No.

PCT/IE2004/000007

person/company is a distributor and that the value for said goods or services are paid from the end customer to the distributor. So the difference between the method disclosed in claim 1 and the method disclosed in D1 is that a middleman (the distributor) acts between the end customer and the supplier.

Please note, that from the point of view of the mobile communication system disclosed in the application and the technical parts defined by the application there is no difference between the role of a distributor and the role of a customer. Therefore, to interpose a middleman (distributor) or a network of middlemen (network of distributors) between the customer and the goods/service supplier does not solve a technical problem. Paying value from the customer to the distributor can be done without implying any technical considerations (e.g. transfer of cash).

The distinguishing features of claim 1 with regard to D1 do not solve any technical problem and do not imply technical considerations to implement them but only imply business considerations. Therefore, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 does not involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

2. Independent claim 18:

Document **D1** is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 18, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A mobile commerce network comprising:

- a mobile communication network (description: column 1 line 3-5; figure 1);
- a supplier having mobile communication capability over said mobile communication network (description: column 2 line 5-20; figure 1);
- a supplier's account addressable over said mobile communication network (description: column 1 line 50-65; figure 1);
- at least one person/company having mobile communication capability over said mobile communication network (description: column 2 line 5-20; figure 1);
- a person/company's account addressable over said mobile communication network (description: column 1 line 50-65; figure 1);
- wherein said supplier authenticates said person/company, verifies funds in said person/company's account, and transfer value to a person/company in response to request received from said person/company over said mobile communication network (description: column 3 line 41 column 4 line 30).



International application No.

PCT/IE2004/000007

The subject-matter of claim 18 differs from the network disclosed in D1 in that the value is transferred to a third person (the end customer). So the difference between the network disclosed in claim 18 and the network disclosed in D1 is that a middleman (the distributor) acts between the end customer and the supplier.

Please note, that from the point of view of the mobile communication system disclosed in the application and the technical parts defined by the application there is no difference between the role of a distributor and the role of a customer. Therefore, to interpose a middleman (distributor) or a network of middlemen (network of distributors) between the customer and the goods/service supplier does not solve a technical problem. Transferring value in response to a request received from the middleman (the distributor) to a third person (the end customer) can be done without implying any further technical considerations comparative to the case of transferring value in response to a request of the middleman to the middleman.

The distinguishing features of claim 18 with regard to D1 do not solve any technical problem and do not imply technical considerations to implement them, but only imply business considerations. Therefore, the subject-matter of independent claim 18 does not involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

Independent claim 20:

Document **D1** is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 20, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A cash based purchasing platform for creation of a mobile commerce network comprising:

- a mobile payments platform including a mobile stored value module (description: column 2 line 36-45; figure 1); and
- a service delivery platform configured for integration with said mobile payments platform (description: column 2 line 23-33; figure 1).

Therefore, the subject-matter of independent claim 20 is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact, that document D2 also discloses the subject-matter of independent claim 20 (description: page 9 line 19-22).

4. Dependent claims 2-17, 19, 21-23:



International application No.

PCT/IE2004/000007

Dependent claims 2-17, 19 and 21-23 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D1 and D2 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

The additional technical features of the dependent claims 2-12, 19 and 21-23 are disclosed in document D1 (description: column 2 - column 3, figure 1) and in document D2 (description: page 12 line 8 - page 15 line 21; figure 2).

The additional features of dependent claims 13-17 cannot be considered to have a technical character since they have a pure economical character and they do not solve any technical problem.

Therefore, the additional features of dependent claims 2-12 and 19 in combination with the features of the claims they refer (see argumentation referring to claim 1 and claim 18) do not involve an inventive step (Article 33(2) PCT) and therefore, the additional features of dependent claims 21-23 in combination with the features of claim 20 (see argumentation to claim 20) are not new (Article 33(3) PCT).